

1

2

3

4

5

6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8

9 WILLIAM SHROPSHIRE,

10 Petitioner,

11 vs.

12 ISIDRO BACA, et al.,

13 Respondents.

Case No. 3:14-cv-00118-HDM-VPC

ORDER

14
15 Petitioner has submitted a motion to reconsider the court's order granting respondents an
16 enlargement of time to file a response to the petition (#14). He argues that respondents did not first
17 send him a notice of intent to file the motion for enlargement. Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil
18 Procedure requires that respondents serve petitioner with a copy of every motion that they file with
19 the court. Respondents certified that they served petitioner with a copy of the motion for
20 enlargement of time (#11).

21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for reconsideration (#14) is
22 **DENIED.**

23 DATED: October 15, 2014.

24 
25

26 HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN
27 United States District Judge
28